

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,167	02/13/2001	Lowell S. Fink	9472	
75	590 04/29/2004	•	EXAMINER	
LOWELL FINK			SWINEHART, EDWIN L	
C/O ANNO MA	ARIE FINK U MONT ALBAN		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
NICE, 06300			3617	
FRANCE			DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/781,167	FINK, LOWELL S.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ed Swinehart	3617	1
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc add	ess
THE REPLY FILED 16 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applicable in the same of this application in the same of the sam	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened and the shortened shortened sh	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe teen which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distallutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E.FINAL REJECTION. S I 36(a) and the appropriate tee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant			may reduce any
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S	or reconsideration has been con <u>ee Continuation Sheet</u> .	sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmer explanation of how the new or amended claims v	$\operatorname{nt}(s)$ a) $oxtime$ will not be entered or $\operatorname{nt}(s)$	b)⊡ will be entered low or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-36</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b)☐ disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		Ed Swinehart Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3617	-

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) *009/784,167

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowanc because: Continuation of 5. Other: Applicant has not pointed out, line and page of the original disclosure or drawings where support can be found for the New Matter entered as noted in the final rejection. What one of ordinary skill in the art would do or know is not sufficient to provide basis to support New Matter...